

Continuation of rejections discussed: The fact that applicant's originally filed claims, have numerous 112 problems, grammatical errors, typographical errors, and numerous issues with "lack of antecedent basis. Additionally "if ... else ..." statements are ambiguous applicant should replace the "if ... else ..." with statements of "When ... otherwise" because the word "if" implies that the limitation which follows may or may not be a limitation which is claimed by applicant.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's authorized associate representative Nish Patel because the claims as originally filed have numerous problems, grammatically, typographically, and issues with missing steps, improper dependency, duplicate claims, lack of antecedent basis. Applicant attempted to clarify some of the problems, but in the course of the interview the examiner noted that the problems were too numerous to resolve via a pre-liminary amendment, therefore the examiner informed applicant that the examiner would proceed with a first office action, pointing out the main problems with the claims as originally filed, but noted that applicant should review the claims thoroughly to ensure that minor informalities are also corrected. Since the examiner is not required to point out every error relating to grammar and spelling.